

Memorandum No 13 d. 88/89,

From,

The Nawab Sahib,

Savannur,

To,

The Acting Collector and  
Political Agent Dharwar,

Savannur Nawab Sahib's office

30<sup>th</sup> of January 1889,

Sir

I have the honor to give you  
a short account of the Yadi of  
Abdul Shamsuddin Khan Son of Abdul  
Dilawar Khan Khanjada Savannur,  
to your address, which you have been  
pleased to forward to me for disposal

The petitioner says that he  
asked the District Magistrate of this  
place, who sentenced him to pay

a fine of Rupees 10-12-0, in a criminal case, to furnish him with a copy of the judgment but that he refused to do so.

Reference having been made to the said Magistrate about the petition, he writes as follows.

"Mardau saheb Kausal Bangadi an inhabitant of Savanur applied against the petitioner accusing him under Sections 323 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code. In a summary Trial held by me, the petitioner was convicted and was therefore sentenced to pay a fine of Rupees 10-12-0. He has not applied to me nor asked me for a copy of the judgment and therefore it has not been given to him. There is no

objection whatsoever, to furnish him with a certified copy, if he applies in accordance with the rules in force for furnishing certified copies. In his deposition before me about this petition, the petitioner said at first that he did not ask for the copy and again feigning to refresh his memory said that he asked for the copy but that I refused to furnish him with it and that he could produce no evidence in support of his statement. I leave it to you to judge in accordance with our merits which of us is right.

It seems to me that the Magistrate might never have refused to furnish him with a copy of the

judgment, there being no reason.

whatsoever to do so.

I think that the petitioner  
makes this false petition, being angry  
with the magistrate for having fined  
him.

I have submitted these few  
lines with the view that you may  
be acquainted with the character of  
the petitioner and with the fact that  
he often troubles you and me with  
such false petitions.

I have the honor to be

Sir  
your sincere friend

Abdur Rahman  
Kawab Sahib of Sarawar, 



Recd  
8/1/94.

[Gen. 35. c.  
2

No. 41 OF 1894.

DEPARTMENT.

Shanwar Collector's Office,  
4 January 1894

MEMORANDUM.

No 6601 dated 16<sup>th</sup> Nov. /93. for-  
warding for report application  
with enclosures of Mr. Abdul  
Shamsuddin Khan son of  
Abdul Bilavakkhan.

Requests an early  
reply to this Office  
reference noted in  
the margin.

*Abdunigah*

To

THE Assistant Political  
Agent  
Savann

Collector. *V. P. K.*  
*agruh*

No 40 of 1894.

Dharwar 6<sup>th</sup> January 1894

Forwarded in original to the Secy of  
Savannur for early compliance in conti-  
-nuation of this office no.  $\frac{1624}{16-11-93}$ .

Attn.  
Assistant Political  
Agent, Savannur

To  
The Right Honourable  
The Governor & President  
in Council  
Political Department  
Bombay.

His Excellency,  
The Right Honourable  
The Governor & President  
in Council  
Political Department  
Bombay.

The humble petition of Abdul  
Shanisuddin Khan Son of  
Abdul Dilavar Khan Brother  
Nawab of Savanur in the  
District of Dharm.

Most Respectedly Sheweth:—

That your humble petitioner prays to draw  
your Excellency's kind attention to the following  
few lines.

Junior Nawab Khasir Khan  
(your Excellency's petitioner's cousin) died and his  
son, Barkhadar junior Nawab Daul Khan  
was under minority and the Political Agent  
was the guardian & administrator. At that time  
the Political Agent used to confer with your  
Excellency's petitioner in the administration of  
the state affairs, may he had sent your Excellency's  
petitioner with the Nawab to Rajkot. Your Excellency's  
petitioner was appointed the Panch between the  
Nawab of Savanur and the Nawab of Rajkot.

at the time of marriage of the sister of junior  
Dale Khan with the Nawab of Jangera. Your  
Excellency's petitioner was given the charge of  
administering the ~~hereditary~~ ceremonies of junior  
Nawab of Dale Khan and his wife according  
to the family custom. Your Excellency's petitioner  
was also appointed ~~panch~~ <sup>panch</sup> in the State alms  
and a Commissioner in the ~~Ravannur State~~  
municipality. Besides your Excellency's petitioner  
used to get something as a reward from the  
State according to your Excellency's petitioner's  
position whenever a marriage used to take  
place in their family. They used to receive help  
of every sort from the State through the Political  
Agent whenever a marriage or other affair  
used to take place. Your Excellency's petitioner's  
father was sent to the Viceregal Durbar by the  
State at its expense for honour in the family.  
The Political Agent used to give them loans  
from the State with an interest at Government  
rate percent whenever they wanted the Divan  
Bahadur in order to lower me in the estimation  
of Political Agents and the Hind Government,  
has maliciously taken away all the authorities  
so long invested with the applicant by falsely  
persuading the Political Agents. Your Excellency  
would judge his illtreatment to me from

from his lately finding me unjustly in two  
criminal cases. Previously all the civil  
and criminal cases affecting your Excellency's  
petitioner and others of the family were  
decided by the Political Agents as there was  
amity between the applicant and the previous  
Mauval. But the Dewan has maliciously  
taken the authority of disposing of the cases  
upon himself and has <sup>been</sup> causing many  
annoyances to the applicant. As there is  
a rule that Dewan's State servants and  
pensioners should be helped by loans  
from the State treasury the Dewan has  
been given a loan of Rs 1000. Your  
Excellency's petitioner does not know why  
the Political Agent ~~the~~ under the mis-  
guidance of the Dewan should not help  
Your Excellency's petitioner in this critical  
position of the petitioner's grand daughter's  
marriage.

Under these circumstances your  
Excellency's humble petitioner begs that the  
cases in which fines are inflicted upon  
the applicant, should be brought before

before your Excellency and their prices  
- redeemed and that Cases either civil or  
Criminal in which your Excellency's petitioner  
is concerned should be ordered to be disposed  
by the Political Agents that your Excellency's  
petitioner should be given a reward according  
to the family - custom in marriage at present,  
as will be seen from the Political Agents  
No 18 dated 5th September 1870 and that his son  
who has been educated should be employed  
by the State and that your Excellency's petitioner  
should be given a loan the payment of  
which will be made from your Excellency's  
petitioner's allowance and that he should  
be given again the services of the washerman  
who has been taken away from the applicant,  
that the applicant should be again given  
in the offices of class giving &c, and your  
Excellency's petitioner should be consulted  
in the administration of the state according  
to previous customs.

The orders inflicting  
fines are herewith appended for favourable  
consideration.

Shawwar } Signed Shamsuddin Khan  
11th November }  
1893 }

under letter No. 11 dated 11 Nov  
1893

To be returned } From Mr Abdul Shanshodin  
with enclosures } Khan son of Abdul Dilawar

Subject } No. 6601 camp <sup>Khan</sup> Sharwar  
Praying for certain } 16<sup>th</sup> November 8/93  
concessions and } Forwarded for report to  
laying certain } The Asst. Political Agent  
grievances before } Sarnaul  
Govt.

To be accompanied (sd) A. Wingate  
by papers in the case Political Agent

No. 1624 of 1893

Copy Sharwar 20<sup>th</sup> November 1893

Forwarded in original to the  
bureau of Sarnaul for report  
together with all the papers  
mentioned in Mr. Shanshodin's  
application.

(sd) A. R. Bonas  
To be returned Asst. Political Agent

Recd

Sarnaul

22/11/93



At 30 of 1893-94

Savanur 25<sup>th</sup> January 1894

Returned with compliments to the Asst.

Political Agent Savanur.

The praying for certain concessions and laying certain grievances before Government are as follows.

1. ~~At~~ In two criminal cases fines have been inflicted upon him and the fines should be redeemed.
2. All the cases in which the petitioner is concerned should be disposed of by the Political Agent.
3. He should be given a reward from the state treasury according to the family custom at the time of their marriages.
4. His education should be employed by the state.



5<sup>th</sup> He should be given a loan from the state  
the payment of which, will be made from his  
allowance.

6<sup>th</sup> He should be given again, the services of the  
washerman who has been taken away from him.

7<sup>th</sup> He should be given again the offices of  
almshouse in the state.

8<sup>th</sup> He should be consulted in the administration  
of the state according to previous custom.

Now I have the honour to answer each of the  
above as follow -

For the 1<sup>st</sup>.

The applicant was fined twice in two  
criminal cases he was concerned in, once by  
the late Dewan Rao Bahadur Gaurao Krishna  
and the second time by me. The papers

connected with calendar No.  $\frac{9}{1889}$  and  $\frac{43}{1891}$   
have been herewith, appended for perusal.

The applicant had to appeal to the higher  
court upon the decision of this court with  
in the fixed time; but he petitioned

to the Political agent to have his fines redeemed.  
The Political agent, after proper consideration  
over the matter, expressed in his letter No 422  
4-5-93  
that he could not interfere with the proceedings  
in which fines have been legally inflicted.

The vernacular correspondence concerning the  
order forwarded through you to this office has  
been returned to your office with a report No 155  
9-5-93

And

The applicants father and uncle Abdul  
Silawar Khan and uncle Abdul Sawal Khan  
held the office of distributing the allowance of  
Zangadhes of the great Mahal until the end  
of July 1859, on which they have consented to  
hand over this office to the then Nawab in  
the presence of Mr Gordon the then <sup>1st</sup> ~~2nd~~ <sup>Asst</sup>  
Collector Sharwar, on condition of being  
paid 200 Rs more in addition to their former  
allowance of Rs 1100 from the state purvoo.

Moreover they have bound themselves and their  
descendants to appear personally or by <sup>valued</sup>  
bondsmen before the court of this state either in  
civil or criminal cases in which they are concerned.

The copy of the agreement has been herewith  
<sup>appended</sup>  
~~attached~~ (marked c). Since that time every  
civil and criminal case, in which the applicant  
and his brothers are concerned, has been disposed  
of by this court.

Such being the case the petitioner  
wishes the transfer of this authority (which is  
groundless, perhaps with the intention of  
preventing his unjustifiable actions from  
being brought to the public and of appearing  
vain gloriously in the public, as being beyond  
the powers of the officials of this state.  
I beg to leave all these at your disposal  
to decide. —

3rd

by the same agreement (marked c)

The applicants father and uncle with their  
descendants have lost (except their hereditary  
allowance of Rs 300) all their claims to any  
additional help of money at the time of marriage  
and births, ~~and~~ may they have lost their claims  
upon the state properties such as -- State village,  
cash profits of land, precious ornaments,  
door-keepers, carriage, tent, and mango grove;  
and further still they and their descendants  
are bound not to put forth any claims upon  
the state properties in future contrary to this agreement.

From this it is manifest that the demand  
of this applicant is rendered null and void  
by the ~~it~~ same agreement. So the applicant  
has no right whatsoever to <sup>make</sup> any additional  
demand and the state is not bound to satisfy  
it

<sup>1<sup>st</sup></sup> The applicant petitioned to the Political  
Agent on 29<sup>th</sup> June 1893 requesting that his  
son should be employed in the British

territory; but the Political agent refused to do so.  
The order given to the petitioner has been appended  
to his petition.

As to the employment of this state his  
son may be given a post equal to his honour;  
but the applicant's son has a close relation with  
the state, he should be employed in such a  
manner by which he may not be able to  
meddle with the state records.

5<sup>th</sup> The applicant had petitioned to the  
Political agent for a loan of Rs 1200 from the  
state; but the Political agent refused to  
give it on the ground the applicant was  
already in debt to the state. The order No.  $\frac{323}{17-3-93}$   
given to the applicant has been appended  
by him to his petition. The vernacular  
corroborandum concerning this forwarded  
through your office No.  $\frac{419}{20-3-93}$  has been  
returned to your office with No.  $\frac{59}{24-3-93}$

being  
of this office, for record. Upon which the  
applicant made a second application  
on 29<sup>th</sup>-6-93 which also received the same  
answer. This order also No.  $\frac{32}{19-8-93}$  has been  
appended by the applicant to his application.  
The correspondence concerning this forwarded  
through your office No.  $\frac{54}{22-8-93}$  has been  
returned to your office with No.  $\frac{25}{27-9-93}$

The petitioner says in his application  
that the Dewan was given a loan of Rs 1000  
according to the rule by which State  
servants and pensioners are helped from  
the state treasury, and that he does not  
know why the Political Agent and the  
misguidance of the Dewan should not  
help him at the time of his grand  
daughter's marriage.

There is no established rule in the  
state to help its servants and pensioners



with loans without interest, but it solely rests  
on the choice of the ruler of the state. The late  
Nawab Abdul Tubrey Khan gave loans of  
money, taking proper security to servants  
and pensioners at some rate of interest and  
some without interest to those whom he wished  
to favour. In the same manner I was  
favoured with a loan of Rs 500 and not  
of Rs 1000 as the applicant says, which was  
<sup>rep</sup> paid in a few days.

Samal Bibi, wife of Abdul Latif Khan  
son of Abdul Tubrey Khan elder brother of  
the applicant applied to the Political Agent  
for a loan of Rs 700 from the state, for which  
I gave my opinions favourably to the applicant  
but the Political Agent refused to give it  
seeing that the allowance which was intended  
to make up the loan was not in the name  
of the applicant, but in that of her minor  
son Fayaz Khan

Such being the true state of things ~~that~~  
what the applicant says that the Political  
agent did all this under the mis-guidance  
of the Dewan, is quite contrary to the truth  
~~with~~ which will be clear from the report made  
on the occasion. In short the applicant  
has no right to claim such loans from the  
state, as it solely depends on the will of the  
Giver. I therefore request that the applicant  
should be ordered not to ~~make~~ make such  
false statements in future again and again.

6<sup>th</sup> In the 2<sup>nd</sup> para of the agreement made  
by the Nawab on 2<sup>nd</sup> July 1859 in the presence  
of Mr Gordon it is stated - that Nawab  
and his descendants are bound to continue  
the services of a Hafiz, a washerman, a  
patkali and a Bhanghee to the family  
of the applicants father and uncle.  
Accordingly the services of the above



said servants are still continued as usual  
The washerman is washing the clothes in his  
usual way as is stated in the agreement.

The applicant applied to the Political Agent  
to order the washerman to iron his clothes, which  
the washerman refused to do, as the custom  
of ironing the clothes was not in prevalence  
at the time the agreement was made. The

Political Agent after receiving full information  
and ~~answer~~ replied in his letter No 53

29-8-93  
that he could not do any thing more in the  
matter. The remainder correspondence

forwarded through your office No. 101  
9-9-93

has been herewith, appended for perusal  
(marked D)

7th  
The applicant was some years ago  
a member in the Municipality and a member  
of the Mussulman Mortgages, but his name  
had been removed from both the offices

previous to my appointment to this place.

Then he laid a complaint before the Political Agent to regain the offices, who expressed in his order No 422 4-5-93 that he could not interfere with the Chawals proceedings. This order has been appended by the applicant to his application.

8th.  
Government has appointed proper officials for the management of the state to conduct the state affairs according to the orders of their Superiors. Such being the case I do not understand why should the applicant be consulted in the state affairs.

---

Besides the above mentioned points the applicant further says in his petition that the Dewan, in order to lower him in the estimation of the Political Agent, has maliciously taken away all the

authorities so long invested with him, by  
falsely persuading the Political agents

The fact is that he had not any of the  
state authorities when I came to this place  
on 1<sup>st</sup> June 1891 as a Dewan of this state.  
But he was a punch in the municipality  
and Musalmani temples, from which he  
he had been reduced before long by the  
late Nawab. From this you may kindly  
consider what false statements had I  
made to persuade in order to take away the  
authorities of so long invested with this  
applicant.

By order  
Dewan, Dewani

Savanur.

Petition from Abdul Shamsuddin Khan,

son of Abdul Dilawarkhan of —.

No. 3875.

Political Department,

Bombay Castle, 26<sup>th</sup> June 1894.

Memorandum from The Commissioner, S.D., No. 16, dated

The 9<sup>th</sup> June 1894 - submitting to government, for orders,

a memorandum from the collector and Political Agent,

Bharwar, No. 3694, dated The 5<sup>th</sup> June 1894, who forwards

a petition from Abdul Shamsuddin Khan, son of Abdul

Dilawarkhan of Savanur, dated The 11<sup>th</sup> November

1893, in which he prays that - (1) The fines inflicted upon

him in two criminal cases may be remitted; (2) that

all cases, civil or criminal, in which petitioner is

concerned, should be disposed of by The Political Agent;

(3) that - The petitioner should be given rewards or loans

on occasions; (4) that his son should be employed

by the State; (5) that - The services of a washerman

should be restored to him; (6) that the office of

alms-giving, should be restored to the petitioner; (7)

that the petitioner should be consulted in the admini-

stration of the state; and makes remarks on the

several requests made by the petitioner, stating that

he (the Commissioner) concurs in the views expressed

by the Political Agent in the case.

Resolution— The request that the petitioner should

be consulted by Government in their responsible

duties of managing the petty state of savanur during

the minority indicates pretensions which should

not be encouraged. The Political Agent has

reported fully on all points, and Government see

no reason to interfere.

W. Lee Warner,

Secretary to Government.

The Commissioner, S.D.,

The Collector and Political Agent, Sharanur.

Note— The petitioner has been referred to the collector

and Political Agent, who will communicate  
to him the purpose of the orders of Government.

No 4343 Bharwar.

2<sup>nd</sup> July 1894.

Copy forwarded for information to the Assistant  
Political Agent, Bharwar.

H. G. Harris  
Head Clerk  
for Polt. Agent.

No 1282 of 1894.

Camp Bharwar 4<sup>th</sup> July 1894.

Forwarded for information & Return.

A. R. Bonas  
A. P. A. Bharwar.

No 96 of 1893-94.

Savarnu Diwan's office,  
July 1894.

Returned to the Assistant Political Agent  
Savarnu with the compliments of the Diwan

of savanur.

Emerson

*[Handwritten signature]*

biwan savanur.

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

*[Faint handwritten text]*

Subject.  
Petition of  
Abdul Sham  
Suddikhan  
of Savant.

No 1 Dharwar.  
November/94.

Memo:- B.

The accompanying vet.  
correspondence received with the  
Political Agents Memo no 7986 dated  
31<sup>st</sup> October/94 is herewith returned  
to the Diwan of Savant with reference  
to this office endorsement no 1282  
dated 4<sup>th</sup> July 1894.

*29/12/94*

Thurston  
Assistant Political  
Agent. Savant.

*29/12/94*

*29/12/94*



Thamsuddin Khan should be informed

I have no jurisdiction to order any  
attachments on his property for his debts  
to be removed: if he cannot pay his  
debts he may seek relief in bankruptcy  
proceedings: I am not sure to what  
extent he can avail himself of the law  
for relief of insolvent debtors: it is not  
my province to deal with such matters:  
but I could not lend any countenance  
to the designs he seems to have of escaping  
from his lawful debts on plea of birth  
and relationship to the Nawab.

13-6-98.

pd/ H. Anderson

True copy

a.p.a.



Below A.P. Agents' No 17 dated 28 Jan 1901 forwarding the Poll Agents  
No 267 of 31st Dec 1900 for compliance with  
No. 29 of 1901

*Khanjadas*

13th January 1901

To,

The assistant Political agent

Savner State

Sharnor

And,

With reference to the Vernacular  
correspondence ending with your endorsement No 1  
of the instant (returned herewith) I have the honor  
to report that there is a provision of Rs 1000 in the  
current year's budget against item No 30 for loans  
to Khanjadas on security of their allowances. Mr  
Abdul Chamschadin Khansab <sup>has been</sup> ~~was~~ granted the sum  
of Rs 815-1-11 under the Political Agent's orders contained  
in his No 3-459-228 of 28th July 1900 out of this allotment  
and loans of Rs 300 & 200 <sup>have been</sup> ~~are~~ made respectively  
to Hayat Khan Phatak Khan & Hayat Khan Kisaldar and

The Political Agent's Vernacular Nos <sup>15</sup> 7.8.1900 & <sup>32</sup> 30.8.1900

The amount being debited to the same head thus <sup>thus</sup> it will be

It is seen that the amounts actually paid exceed the  
allotment by ~~Rs 315-1-11~~ <sup>The sum of</sup> & this excess is <sup>paid</sup> ~~which~~ is proposed to meet by  
reappropriation from the provision of Rs 4000 under  
No 27 of outlay on plague measures. The necessary  
reappropriation statement is herewith submitted.  
for approval & sanction.

I have to.

  
Director of Revenue

~~Khairabad~~ No 248 of 1905  
Shiggaon 14 January 1905  
From

H. C. Gibbs Esquire, LL.B., I.C.S.,  
Collector & Political Agent, Shiggaon

To

The Divan of Savanur

Sir,

Hapiz Abdul Rahim Khan  
brother Nawab of Savanur complains  
that his gun and sword have been  
attached by the Chief Constable.  
The reason he assigns is that a  
disturbance was created by the  
grandson of his brother one Hayat Khan

Please let me know

- (1) if Hapiz Abdul Rahim Khan  
has a license
- (2) if not, whether there is any  
reason why he should not have  
one

(3) if his gun and sword were attached  
and if so, why!

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

W. L. G.

Collector & Pol. Agent, Daman

Thompson

Ass

De

— The Assistant Political Agent  
Daman.

In 225-16125  
11-11-11  
11-11-11  
11-11-11

To 4064 of 1905. Savanur  
1st Feb 1905.

The P. A.

Sir

With ref to 248 of  
14<sup>th</sup> Dec - I have the honor to  
reply in the points noted there

(1) Hapiz Abdul Rahim Khan has  
no license

(2) Correspondence ending with yr  
Vern no. S. P. A. 50 is enclosed. It  
will be seen <sup>16-11-04</sup> ~~from~~ <sup>the reasons given therein</sup> that it is  
not advisable to grant a license  
to Hapiz Abdul Rahim Khan

(3) The gun & sword with him were  
attached as he had no license

The papers may be of use to him as  
unpr wanted I have H

Ru  
Thro The P. A. With ref to 248

the whole is not, the other is  $\frac{1}{1-2\alpha}$  way

the whole is not, the other is  $\frac{1}{1-2\alpha}$  way

Lee



Recd.  
28/11/88

Political Office

No 5134 of 1888.

From,

G. S. Forbes Esquire  
1<sup>st</sup> Assistant Resident,  
Hydrabad.

To,

The Collector and  
Political Agent,  
Dharwar.

Dated Hydrabad Resi-  
dency 21<sup>st</sup> November  
1888

Sir,

With reference to this  
office letter No 4495 dated  
17<sup>th</sup> ultimo, I am directed  
to inform you that His  
Highness the Nizam's  
Minister states that if  
a sufficiently distant  
date is fixed to admit of

Abdul Ganigar Khan  
and Abdul Nadim  
Khan appearing before  
the Nawab of Savanur,  
they will be directed to  
do so.

I have the honor &ca

(Signed)

For 1<sup>st</sup> Assistant  
Resident

No 4673

25<sup>th</sup> November 1888.

Copy with the Poli-  
tical Agents' compliments  
<sup>forwarded.</sup>  
to the Nawab of Savanur  
with a request that he  
will fix a sufficiently  
distant date.

Belmont

As Collector and Political  
agent, Dharwar.

No 10 of 1888/89,

From,

The Nawab Sahib,

Savannur.

To,

The Acting Collector and  
Political Agent Dharwar.

Savannur Nawab Sahib's office  
19<sup>th</sup> of January 1889.

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement  
No 4673 dated the 27<sup>th</sup> November 1888,  
I have the honor to inform you that  
Abdul Ganigarkhan and Nadiunkhan  
of Hyderabad should appear before  
my Diwan at Savannur, on 25<sup>th</sup> April  
1889, that date being sufficiently  
distant they should be informed

to be present here on that day.

I have the honor to be

sir

your sincere friend

WJ

Nawab Sahib Saranur.

Political Office

No 1793 of 1889,

From,

G. S. Forbes Esquire  
First Assistant Resident  
Hyderabad,

To

The Collector and Political  
Agent Dharwar,

Dated Hyderabad Residency  
16<sup>th</sup> April 1889,

Sir

I am directed to refer you  
to your letter No 491 dated January  
1889, requesting that Abdul Ganiar  
Khan and Abdul Nadin may be  
directed to appear before the  
Nawab of Savanur on the 25<sup>th</sup> April  
1889. -

2—In a communication now  
received from His Highness the  
Nizam's Minister, it is stated  
that Abdul Nadin has consented.

to appear before the Nawab on the  
above date, but that Abdul Ganiyar  
khan states that he cannot appear  
till after the Ramzan, as he is  
engaged in some cases at Hyderabad.

I have the honor to be

<sup>sir</sup>  
Your most obedt servant  
(sd) Major

For First Asstt Resident

No 2252

Forwarded to the Nawab of  
Sawamur for perusal and return

$\frac{19}{22nd}$  - 4 - 89 } (sd) H. E. Winter  
Pol. Agent.

Reed  
23/4/89,

No 31 of 1888/89.

Sawamur Nawab Sahab's  
office  
24<sup>th</sup> April 1889,

Returned on perusal to the  
Acting Collector and Political

Agent Bharwar. with the compli-  
-ments of the undersigned.

Mul Talwar  
Nawab Sahib Bahadur.